## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-19 and 21-26 are pending in the application. The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-19 and 21-26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovesdi et al. (U.S. Publication 2003/0155413) in view of Willins et al. (U.S. Publication 2005/0108646).

Please amend Claims 1, 5-9, 13-16, 18 and 22-26 as set forth herein. Please cancel Claims 2, 4, 10, 12, 19 and 21 without prejudice. No new matter has been added.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of now-cancelled Claims 2 and 4; the other independent claims have also been similarly amended.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claims 1, 9 and 18 under §103(a), the Examiner states that Kovesdi et al. in view of Willins et al. renders the claims unpatentable. Kovesdi et al. discloses a system and method for authoring and providing information relevant to a physical world; and, Willins et al. discloses a telemetric contextually based spatial audio system integrated into a mobile terminal wireless system.

Amended Claim 1 recites a method for presenting and browsing information, comprising the steps of: classifying the information into a plurality of classes and sub-classes, each class having at least one sub-class; directional tagging said classified information with directional tags for spatial presentation; consulting the directional tags to audibly present each class from a different position in space based on the directional tags; and interactively controlling the presentation of the sub-classes, comprising the steps of: receiving an input command from the user, said input command containing information identifying a position in space from which a class was presented; and presenting sub-class information of the class identified by said input command.

Claim 1, as part of the interactive control of the presentation, recites receiving an input command from the user, said input command containing information identifying a position in

space from which a class was presented. The Examiner relies on Kovesdi at paragraph [0064] to reject this feature. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Kovesdi teaches that all objects in the proximity of a user are displayed; no user input is present in Kovesdi. Even further, the input command from the user of Claim 1 specifically includes information identifying a position in space from which a class was presented. Kovesdi does not teach or disclose receiving an input command from the user. In addition, Kovesdi does not teach or disclose an input command containing information identifying a position in space from which a class was presented. Willins does not cure the defects of Kovesdi.

Since amended Claims 9 and 18 recite features similar to those recited in Claim 1, the arguments set forth above with respect to Claim 1 also apply to Claims 9 and 18.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-19 and 21-26 under §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1, 9 and 18 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 5-8, 13-17 and 22-26, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 5-8, 13-17 and 22-26 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1, 5-9, 13-18 and 22-26, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Musella Reg. No. 39,310

Attorney for Applicants

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Suite 701 Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel: Fax: (516) 228-3565 (516) 228-8475

PJF/MJM/dr